

## Problem Set 1

### Problem 1.7

(From Vazirani Ch. 1)

**Lemma 1.** *The size of a longest chain in  $A$  is less than or equal to the size of an antichain cover of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S \subseteq A$  be a longest chain  $\{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ ,  $s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_m$ , and let  $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \dots, C_n\}$  be an antichain cover of  $A$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}$  is a partition of  $A$ , every  $s_i$  must be an element of exactly one antichain  $C_i$ . Every pair  $s_i, s_j \in S, i \neq j$  are comparable, so  $s_i \in C_i$  implies that  $s_j \notin C_i$  (by the definition of an antichain). Thus every  $s_i$  is associated with its own antichain  $C_i$ , and there must be at least as many antichains in  $\mathcal{C}$  as there are  $s_i$  in  $S$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *The size of a longest chain is greater than or equal to the size of a smallest antichain cover.*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1, it suffices to show that there is an antichain cover exactly equal in size to the longest chain (since all other antichain covers would necessarily be larger). We follow Vazirani's construction of such an antichain cover. Let the size of the longest chain be  $m$ . For  $a \in A$ , let  $\phi(a)$  denote the size of the longest chain in which  $a$  is the smallest element. Consider a family  $\mathcal{P}$  of sets  $A_i = \{a \in A \mid \phi(a) = i\}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ . Note that the size of  $\mathcal{P}$  is the size of the longest chain. We can demonstrate that  $\mathcal{P}$  is an antichain cover of  $A$  by showing that it is a pairwise disjoint cover of  $A$  and that each  $A_i$  is an antichain. Since  $1 \leq \phi(a) \leq m$  for all  $a \in A$ , we know that  $A_{\phi(a)}$  exists and that  $a \in A_{\phi(a)}$ . So every  $a$  is covered by some  $A_i$ . Since  $\phi(a)$  takes on exactly one value for a particular  $a$ , it must be that  $A_i \cap A_j$  is empty for all  $i \neq j$ , so  $A_i$  are disjoint. Now we assume that there is a comparable pair  $a_1, a_2 \in A_i$  for some  $i$  and deduce a contradiction. Without loss of generality, assume that  $a_1 \leq a_2$ . By our definition of  $A_i$ , it must be that  $\phi(a_1) = \phi(a_2) = i$ . Let  $L$  be the longest chain in which  $a_2$  is the smallest element (note that the length of  $L$  is  $i$ ). We can create a chain  $L' = L \cup \{a_1\}$  whose smallest element is  $a_1$  and whose length is  $i + 1$ , in contradiction to  $\phi(a_1) = i$ . Therefore there is no comparable pair  $a_1, a_2 \in A_i$  for any  $i$ , so all  $A_i$  are antichains and  $\mathcal{P}$  is an antichain cover of  $A$ .  $\square$