

## CSCI 2510 - Problem set 9

Shay Mozes  
shay@cs.brown.edu

### Problem 22.8

1. In the point-to-point connection problem we are given a graph  $G = (V, E)$  with non-negative cost on the edges  $c(e)$  and two disjoint sets  $S, T$  of the same cardinality. We wish to find a minimum cost subgraph connecting each vertex in  $S$  to a unique vertex in  $T$ .

First we note that the formulation of the problem is misleading. It seems as if the roles of  $S$  and  $T$  are not symmetric, but in fact the requirement that there is a path from *each* vertex in  $S$  to a unique vertex of  $T$  implies that there is a path from each vertex of  $T$  to a unique vertex of  $S$ .

Consider the function  $f : 2^V \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$  such that for a subset  $C$  of the  $V$ ,  $f(C) = 1$  if the number of vertices from  $S$  in  $C$  (i.e.,  $|S \cap C|$ ) is different from the number of vertices from  $T$  in  $C$ . Let  $G'$  be a subgraph of  $G$ . We claim that  $G'$  satisfies the point-to-point connectivity requirement iff for all  $C$  the number of edges in  $G'$  crossing the cut  $(C, \bar{C})$  is at least  $f(C)$ . Assume there is a path in  $G'$  connecting each node in  $S$  to a unique vertex in  $T$ , and let  $C$  contain a different number of vertices from  $S$  and  $T$ . By the symmetry of  $S$  and  $T$  we may assume, without loss of generality, that there are more vertices of  $S$  in  $C$  than vertices of  $T$ . Then there exists a path from one of those vertices, say  $s$ , to a vertex of  $T$  not in  $C$ . Hence the number of edges of  $G'$  crossing the cut  $(C, \bar{C})$  is at least 1. For the other direction, assume the point-to-point connectivity property does not hold. Then, there must be  $m$  vertices in  $S$ , say  $s_1, \dots, s_m$  that have paths in  $G'$  to at most  $m - 1$  distinct vertices in  $T$ . Consider the set  $C$  of vertices of the connected components in  $G'$  that contain  $s_1 \dots s_m$ . This set contains at least  $m$  vertices of  $S$  and at most  $m - 1$  vertices of  $T$ , so  $f(C) = 1$ , but  $C$  has no edges leaving it.

Next, we show that  $f$  is a *proper* function as defined in problem 22.7. By problem 22.7, this would imply that the 2-approximation for the Steiner forest problem also applies to the point-to-point connectivity problem. Indeed, by our definition of  $f$ ,  $f(V) = 0$ . Next, since the cardinalities of  $S$  and  $T$  are the same, if  $C$  contains a different number of vertices from  $S$  and from  $T$ , so does  $\bar{C}$ . Hence,  $f(C) = f(\bar{C})$ . Finally, if  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint subsets, and  $f(A \cup B) = 1$  then  $A \cup B$  contains a different number of vertices from  $S$  and  $T$ . Hence, at least one of  $A$  and  $B$  contains a different number of vertices from  $S$  and  $T$ , so at least one of  $f(A)$  and  $f(B)$  is 1, which shows the third and last property of a *proper* function.

2. To obtain a 2-approximation for the case where we only require that each vertex of  $S$  be connected to some vertex of  $T$ , we reduce the problem to Steiner tree. Add a dummy vertex  $\tau$  to  $G$  and connect it with zero weight edges to all vertices of  $T$ . Adding these edges does not change the value of an optimal solution since any path that starts at some  $s \in S$  and uses one of the new edges must first pass through some vertex  $t \in T$ . hence  $s$

is connected to some  $t \in T$  even without the new edge. Consider the Steiner tree problem on this graph with  $S \cup T$  as the required vertices. Any solution to our original problem can be converted with zero cost to a Steiner tree by adding all of the new edges. On the other hand, any Steiner tree can be converted to a solution to our original problem by deleting all new edges. Therefore, a 2-approximation to the Steiner tree problem is also a 2-approximation to the relaxed point-to-point connectivity problem.